



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignaia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/835,711	04/16/2001	Kia Silverbrook	360040-21	7729	
7:	590 07/08/2003		•		
Kia Silverbrook			EXAMINER		
393 Darling Str Balmain, NSW			LIANG, LEONARD S		
AUSTRALIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2853		
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				m
منسأ ا		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
4	Advisory Action	09/835,711	SILVERBROOK, KIA	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Leonard S Liang	2853	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	S
There inal re condit	REPLY FILED 19 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE 1 fore, further action by the applicant is required to ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendment	s application. A proper reply tent which places the application	to a on in
	PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or b	)]	
a) [2		•		
b) [	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The content of the content	than SIX MONTHS from the mailir S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS	g date of the final rejection. OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See M	MPEP
ave be 7 CFR b) abov	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The time filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension the shorten (a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten (b), if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three repatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amored statutory period for reply origina	int of the fee. The appropriate extensi- lly set in the final Office action; or (2) a	ion fee under as set forth in
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C			
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a	)   they raise new issues that would require further.	ther consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b	) \(  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal	oy materially reducing or simp	plifying the
(d	) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.	
3.□	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejo	ection(s):		
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	I in a separate, timely filed ar	mendment
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request application in condition for allowance because:		en considered but does NOT	place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed Se	OLELY to issues which were r	newly
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			na t
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	s:		
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>138-145, 147-154</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐	disapproved by the Examine	er.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
0.	Other:			
			Stephen D. Meier	
			Primary Examiner	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are not persuasive, especially in view of the applicant's broad recitation of claims.

Stephen D. Meier Primary Examiner